## Subject: Re: particle detection - a way to speed up things? Posted by Vince Hradil on Fri, 30 Nov 2007 14:02:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Nov 30, 2:22 am, Ingo von Borstel <newsgro...@planetmaker.de>
wrote:
> Hi.
>
>> If I'm reading your program correctly, you have a big image consisting
>> (presumably) of
>> a lot of empty space and numerous particles that you have identified
>> in some way.
>
> Yes, correct. Black image with an arbitrary amount (<= 1000) of small
> blobs with a value different than zero; those blobs numbered using
> either label region or watershed.
>
>> My guess is that the main reason your program is slow is that for each
>> particle you
>> are summing over the entire image.
> Probably. Half the time is consumed by the determination of the position
> for each particle in the overall image.
>
>> I can see two ways to speed things up:
>> 1) Create subsets of the image for each particle and only sum only
>> over the subset containing the particle.
> In order to calculate the absolute position of a particle, I need to
> know where on an image it resides. I think I'll loose this information
> with this approach.
>
>> 2) Use something like HISTOGRAM or a multi-dimensional historgam with
>> the REVERSE_INDICES keyword (or equivalent)
>> to get the indices associated with each particle and sum over those.
>> The histogram command would be applied to your
>> particle_image field with a binsize of 1 and starting at 0. See
>> http://www.dfanning.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html
>> for ideas on how to approach this problem using histograms.
>
  The latter might have potential. I'll give it a try and report back then.
>
  Thanks a lot for your input.
>
>
> Cheers.
> Ingo
> --
```

- > Ingo von Borstel <newsgro...@planetmaker.de>
- > Public Key:http://www.planetmaker.de/ingo.asc

> If you need an urgent reply, replace newsgroups by vgap.

Have you looked at the LABEL\_REGION example in the help?