Subject: Re: question about paths/workspace Posted by R.G.Stockwell on Thu, 06 Dec 2007 01:02:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
"Vince Hradil" <hradilv@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:37b169a7-6dce-46e6-bea2-dfefb0d48ebc@a35g2000prf.google groups.com...
> On Dec 5, 5:19 pm, Vince Hradil <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> On Dec 5, 5:15 pm, Vince Hradil <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> On Dec 5, 5:07 pm, Vince Hradil <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>> On Dec 5, 4:41 pm, "R.G. Stockwell" <noem...@please.com> wrote:
>>
>>>> > "Vince Hradil" <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> > news:ad45793c-59f1-4ce3-af1b-1562b8811b23@v4g2000hsf.googleg roups.com...
>>
>>> > On Dec 5, 2:02 pm, "R.G. Stockwell" <noem...@please.com> wrote:
>>> > > "Vince Hradil" <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>
>>> > news:5896e153-b4ef-4f90-882f-6848389bf05a@r60g2000hsc.google groups.com...
>>
>>> > > On Dec 5, 12:16 pm, "R.G. Stockwell" <noem...@please.com>
>>>> > wrote:
>>> > >> I have my idl code on a networked raided backedup drive,
>>>> >>> but often take my laptop away from that network.
>>
>>> > >> So I have my path setup to look for the network first (drive
>>>> > >> H say)
>>> > >> then look on the laptop (drive C). It works pretty well.
>>> > >> If I put my 'workspace' on H, and am off the network,
>>> > >> I get 'workspace cannot be found' errors and it forces
>>> > >> me to create a new one.
>>
>>> > >> Anyone have a good solution to using travelling workspaces?
>>> > >> I haven't really looked into the problem much yet, maybe it's
>>> > >> a stupid question with an obvious answer.
>>
>>>> > >> Cheers,
>>>> > > bob
>>
>>> > > Can you just create your workspace on the C-drive, but create
>>>> > projects
>>> > > from your remote (H) drive. The workspace won't copy the
>>>> > > files from
```

```
>>>> > > the H-drive, but just keep a reference to them.
>>
>>> > Short answer, no. Not if I want to access my workspace
>>> > > from somewhere other than my laptop (which I often do).
>>>> > The C drive is only accessible from the laptop.
>>
>>>> > Cheers,
>>>> > bob
>>> > How about just making workspaces everywhere that point to the
>>>> > same
>>>> > projects? Isn't a workspace just a group of projects?
>>
>>>> > We'll see how it goes. I am worried about pointing to a project
>>>> > that
>>>> > "isn't there", but I have not done that yet.
>>
>>>> > Cheers,
>>>> > bob
>>
>>> Well, I tried it. If the project "isn't there" I get an error that
>>>> the workspace is in use - pick another one. Oh, well that won't work
>>>> do all!
>>
>>> Wait a minute... It seem that the IDLDE process just didn't stop. I'm
>>> trying again. I'll let you know what happens.
>>
>>> It seems that the background process of "Analyzing code..." continues
>>> after I guit the IDLDE - I have a fairly large path, and it takes
>>> several minutes to "analyze", so during this testing I quit IDL before
>>> the background process was finished - That locks the IDLDE workspace.
>>> This is not acceptable. I think that 7.0.1 needs to have a "Analyzing"
>>> code..." work-around. Oh for my command line and emacs...
>> The process is still there, with about 1/2 Mb virtual memory used,
>> even though it seems the Analyzing code is done. I'm going to kill it
>> and disconnect the remote drive. And test the workspace again.
>
> OK - here's what happened. I had left one of the remote files open in
> the editor, then exited (then I had to kill the IDLDE process, but
> that's another issue). Then I disconnected the remote drive and re-
started IDLDE. I got an error that the source code did not exist and
> the remote project was closed. When I tried to open the remote
> project, it eventually timed-out saying that the .project file could
> not be found. I exited IDLDE - this time it took about 90 seconds to
> to "Save the workspace" - the dialog just stayed on my screen. When
> the dialog went away, the process did too. Then I reconnected the
> remote drive and re-started IDLDE. The remote project was closed, but
```

- > I was able to open it.
- > So it looks like the "remote project" approach might work OK.

> I'm still not too happy about the "Analyzing code...", though 8^\

thanks for all the info!

Cheers, bob