```
Subject: Re: Windows command-line: why not?
Posted by Vince Hradil on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 21:26:32 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
On Dec 7, 3:18 pm, abra...@ittvis.com wrote:
> On Dec 6, 12:40 pm, "Haje Korth" <haje.ko...@nospam.jhuapl.edu> wrote:
>
>
>> Vince.
>> ITTVIS has heard the demand for a Windows command line version A LOT. I am
>> not sure why it does not exist, whether it was technical difficulties or
>> just historical reasons. From version 1 on vax terminals I remember that the
>> command line was curcial as there was no support for windows guis. The first
>> windows version I remember was v2, which came on two 1.44 inch diskettes.
>> Windows natively supported a gui interface, so there was no need for a
>> command line version. (and people back then were not screaming loud enough i
>> guess).
>
>> There may be some good news here. Separating the idl process (idl_opserver)
>> from the DE may have laid the ground work for allowing a command line
>> version. At least this is what I gathered from comments I received during
>> the tech preview phase.
>
>> we will see,
>> Haje
   "Vince Hradil" <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
>> news:ca12e60e-83ef-4598-8417-45b23a8e8709@v4g2000hsf.googleg roups.com...
>>> Does anyone know the real reason that there is no windows command-
>>> line?
>>> 1-technical difficulties - I'm not that familiar with the windows api
>>> 2-marketing issues - they want everyone to conform to the IDLDE
>>> 3-ITT-VIS doesn't perceive a need (maybe they will now)
>>> 4-Other?
>
> If you've been good boys and girls this year, Santa may leave
> something in your stocking.
>
> Haje, you surmise correctly. Using the OPS (out-of-process server) to
```

> separate IDL from the front-end gui, has, indeed, opened up many doors

Woohoo... I've been veeery good. 8^)

> of opportunity.

> Abraham