
Subject: Re: Windows command-line: why not?
Posted by [abraham](#) on Fri, 07 Dec 2007 21:18:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Dec 6, 12:40 pm, "Haje Korth" <haje.ko...@nospam.jhuapl.edu> wrote:

- > Vince,
- > ITTVIS has heard the demand for a Windows command line version A LOT. I am
- > not sure why it does not exist, whether it was technical difficulties or
- > just historical reasons. From version 1 on vax terminals I remember that the
- > command line was curcial as there was no support for windows guis. The first
- > windows version I remember was v2, which came on two 1.44 inch diskettes.
- > Windows natively supported a gui interface, so there was no need for a
- > command line version. (and people back then were not screaming loud enough i
- > guess).
- >
- > There may be some good news here. Separating the idl process (idl_opserver)
- > from the DE may have laid the ground work for allowing a command line
- > version. At least this is what I gathered from comments I received during
- > the tech preview phase.
- >
- > we will see,
- > Haje
- >
- > "Vince Hradil" <hrad...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
- >
- > news:ca12e60e-83ef-4598-8417-45b23a8e8709@v4g2000hsf.googlegroups.com...
- >
- >> Does anyone know the real reason that there is no windows command-
- >> line?
- >> 1-technical difficulties - I'm not that familiar with the windows api
- >> 2-marketing issues - they want everyone to conform to the IDLDE
- >> 3-ITT-VIS doesn't perceive a need (maybe they will now)
- >> 4-Other?

If you've been good boys and girls this year, Santa may leave something in your stocking.

Haje, you surmise correctly. Using the OPS (out-of-process server) to separate IDL from the front-end gui, has, indeed, opened up many doors of opportunity.

Abraham
