

---

Subject: Re: IDL 7 and Subversion

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 18 Dec 2007 13:58:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Paul van Delst writes:

- > 1) Developer publishes to the developer email list what he/she is planning on doing.
- > 2) Interested parties respond (good idea, bad idea, waste of time, etc).
- > 3) Prior to commit (of completed, or partially complete, code), the developer makes code available for review (with a lead time to permit adequate evaluation of code)
- > 4) Interested parties review the code and provide feedback regarding adherence to coding standards, overall design, efficacy of algorithms, etc
- > 5) Development lead for the affected portions of code gives go ahead or refusal for commit. (each "portion" of code has a Grand Poobah person that has veto power for a commit. What "portion" means for a group is defined by them)
- > 6) Developer commits code (or provides a tarball for commit if they don't yet have access).
- > 7) Developer is also responsible for providing documentation and test cases for code.

Ah, I needed this reminder of why I work alone. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---