
Subject: M-I 5-Persecution . No Justice for the Victims of MI5
Posted by vmevi on Mon, 31 Dec 2007 09:13:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

MI5. Persecution Update: Friday 11 June, 1999

Harassment in a pub in Clapham, Tuesday lunchtime

Once a month, or once every other month, I meet a Polish friend of mine who lives in Clapham North, and sometimes we go to a particular pub near where he lives. The last time was some two months ago; I did not have my minidisc-walkman with me, and consequently was seriously harassed by people MI5 had instructed to harass me, in the pub.

This Tuesday, 8 June 1999, we again went to the same pub for a pre-meal drink. This time I did have my minidisc-walkman with me. As you can guess, we were followed into the pub by a couple of young people (I'm getting older and older - isn't it funny how the MI5 agents all look young these days?). They started talking about my situation, in particular some travel I had booked the previous day. Unfortunately, they were talking fairly quietly, and my minidisc didn't pick up their speech.

MI5's schizophrenic reasoning is that simultaneously I am very important and worthy of their acts of persecution, and I am also totally unimportant and their abuse of me "doesn't matter". Perhaps they should test their agents and managers for obsessive personalities or psychotic features in the same way as employees are drug-tested. MI5 might lose their "worst cases" employees if some psychological testing were applied to their recruitment procedure.

Toothless Watchdogs.. What a Surprise.

Recently I have been engaging in communications with two watchdogs, the Security Service Tribunal which deals with complaints against the security service (and always clears MI5 of any wrongdoing), and the Data Protection Registrar, which is mandated to investigate misuse of personal data held on computer.

In a letter to Nick Brooks, Security Service Tribunal secretary, dated 20 April, I asked him if the Tribunal, as an allegedly independent body, had the means to investigate complaints against MI5 other than asking MI5 to themselves investigate complaints. To my disappointment, his reply two weeks later answered the question only indirectly, in the negative. Whatever the reader might think of the merits of the case I have been putting before you in faxed articles this last year and half, you will surely recognise that it is a significant anomaly for the Tribunal to have no independent means of investigating complaints, particularly given the view expressed even by previous home secretaries that the security

services are. untrustworthy.

MI5 Persecution: No Justice for the Victims. of MI5

In a previous article I detailed the similarities between the. current MI5 Persecution in England, and other. historical instances of similar persecution, notably that by the Nazi Germans in the thirties. and forties.. The Germans persecuted first the mentally ill, the epileptics and those suffering from schizophrenia,. then moved on to racial groups; in todays Britain the. persecution is again directed at those with mental illness, which the British in. their cowardice find easier than taking on racial groups as the Germans did. But. just as in Thirties Germany there was no recourse against a malign and omnipotent state, so the MI5. Secret Police in the modern. British Fascist State allow no justice for their victims.

No Recourse. Against the Security Services Illegal Harassment

It has become apparent to. me over the last nine years just how loaded the dice are in. todays Britain, a fundamentally fascist country which masquerades as a democracy. Britain is a democracy in name only;. the Establishment, the defence and MI5 secret police. are always there, regardless of which. party has been voted into power most recently - and from "New Labours" conduct in office one finds it difficult to tell. them apart. from Old Tories, in their crackdowns on the sick and vulnerable in society.

In thirties Germany the victims of. the Nazi regime had no legal recourse against their State oppressors; and. in todays Fascist British state, the victims of the. Establishment and its MI5 Secret Police similarly have no recourse. against the illegal state-funded and organised oppression. There is supposed to be a mechanism for dealing with MI5 crimes, in the shape. of the Security Service. Tribunal and Commissioner; yet these have never found favour of a plaintiff. One. might as well ask the Nazi SS to investigate crimes against epileptics or Jews as ask the Security Service Tribunal. to investigate MI5. crimes against British citizens; it is quite obvious what the answer is going to be, regardless. of what you say to them, and what evidence you provide. The Tribunal and Commissioner are a mere. formality, a whitewashing body to give the Secret Services a veneer beneath. which they hide. their shabby criminality.

"Institutional Racism" and Bigotry in the. Metropolitan Police

The recent inquiry into the racist murder of Stephen Lawrence found. clear evidence of systematic and deliberate racism. in the Metropolitan Police, which cannot have surprised anyone. Commissioner. Sir Paul Condon accepted

a somewhat lukewarm. definition of institutional racism as being "unconscious". In truth, and this truth. must surely be obvious to anyone who has any familiarity or. encountered the great British police, the Met has not just "unconscious" racism, but very. clearly "conscious" and deliberate racism, of the kind that would have done. Thirties Germans proud. And it is a bastion. of such open racism - when many other parts of society are learning to live in a multicultural environment,. the Met is still living in the past in its attitude. to the minorities.

But in addition. to the systematic racism confirmed by the Lawrence inquiry, there is also. something much more relevant to this case, which is systematic. police bigotry against the physically and mentally disabled, which I personally have. experienced in my dealings with the police over the years. You. cannot expect equal treatment if you are mentally disabled. I tried to make a complaint to the police in 1995 about. the harassment I had been subjected. to for several years. As soon as they found out I had mental illness, they refused. to even talk to me. I tried again in March 1999; the police made various threats to me,. while ranting about "your paranoid rubbish". in reference to the complaints expressed to them.

The. police refusal to do the job they are employed and paid to do is extremely serious, particularly as this case is highly important,. indeed key to the. whole issue of British self-perception at the end of the 20th century and the beginning of the 21st century.. Britain has lost much in the last fifty years - it has lost an empire, it has lost. its self-respect and its identity as a people. If the. MI5 persecution finally makes it into the light and the Security Services are seen for the rather. cheap bullies their. behaviour indicates, it will have a massive and harmful effect on British self-perception. in the new century.

Security Service Tribunal - Blatant. Whitewashing

A few weeks ago I spoke on. the phone to Nick Brooks, the Secretary of the Security Service Tribunal, which is supposed to deal with cases. of MI5 transgression. I asked. him if the Tribunal had ever found in favour of a plaintiff and. against the Security Service. He answered that as far as he knew, it had. not.

I also asked Mr. Brooks if the Tribunal had any means of investigating allegations of criminal. activity by MI5, other than asking MI5 themselves if they. had engaged in such activity. His rather circuitous written reply states that the 1989 Security Service Act provides that "it shall. be the duty of every member of the. Service to disclose or give to the Tribunal such documents or information as they. may require for the purpose of enabling them to carry out their functions under this Act".. Which is a very round-about way of. saying - "No" - the Tribunal has no way of investigating MI5. crimes - None At All.

Setting up the S.S. Tribunal, and creating a Security. Service Commissioner, was really an act of falsehood by the government. It is generally recognised that MI5 are out of reach of the normal criminal justice system - the police, as we have seen, have no interest answer to the government; in a previous article I quoted Roy Jenkins speaking of "a secretive atmosphere.... secretive vis-a-vis the government. as well as [enemies]", and a "lack of frankness" from MI5.

If the government wanted to, it could reform the secret services and ensure they acted in conformance with the laws which have been enacted for them in the last ten years. But apparently the present government does not see any need to ensure security service compliance with the law. As noted above, the regulatory machinery for MI5 is deliberately weak; the Tribunal has no independent means of investigation, and it is simply a waste of time complaining to the Tribunal or Commissioner.

Every so often, fresh revelations come to light of secret service transgressions. We have heard from Shayler that MI5 bugged Jack Straw and Peter Mandelson; we have heard from Peter Wright that MI5 plotted to see Wilson ejected from office; yet so closed is the system of government that the law is never enforced against MI5 when they "bug and burgle" everyone from politicians to ordinary citizens.

No Justice from the Legal System, either

Britain has a civil and criminal justice system which effectively limits many complaints from being heard. For a civil complaint to be brought before a court, you need a solicitor willing to act on your behalf. Supposedly it is possible to represent yourself as a Litigant-in-Pthe basis of being "frivolous and vexatious" or "disclosing no cause for action in law". This is exactly what happened to me in 1997 when I twice tried to take the BBC to court for spying on me in my living room at home; my case was twice struck out, and on the second occasion an order was made against me that I could not bring further civil litigation against the BBC without leave of the court.

As the reader can guess it is quite impossible for a person with schizophrenia, who complains about the secret services and media interfering in his life, to find a solicitor willing to represent him in legal action against the persecutors. I have quite a lot of evidence of the continuing persecution which I have posted on the website, even if the evidence is quite tentative and perhaps open to varying interpretations. But I have tried several firms of solicitors; some of them have acted unprofessionally and abused me; all of them have refused to represent me. My psychiatrist gave me the name of the firm of Bindman and Partners in central London, and I have approached them twice. On each occasion they refused to accept my instructions. Plainly the problem with

Bindmans, which. they have admitted, is their bigotry against mentally disabled people; yet this. is not just something that is wrong with Bindmans, it is something that. is wrong with the legal system as a whole, since the legal system. necessitates finding a solicitor willing to represent you in order to bring a case to court,. but it does not have a mechanism for providing a lawyer in the case where no solicitor is. willing to take on. your case. So the British legal system is such as to prevent cases such as mine from ever receiving an airing in a. court.

So much for the civil courts. But the criminal justice system. is no better. Two years ago the Protection from Harassment Act came. into force. But again, while the Act is all very well on. paper, in practice it is unenforceable in my case, since it would require Police. action to see the law implemented, and if the police cannot. even be trusted to conduct a murder investigation competently (in the Lawrence. case), then what chance is. there of their investigating complaints against the Security Service? Of course, it would be possible for me to personally. issue proceedings through a private prosecution either against. MI5 themselves, or against one or. more of their media tools; but here we see the same problems as. with the civil courts, because first of all I would have to find a lawyer willing to act on my behalf. Litigants-in-person. do exist in the criminal courts,. but the Attorney General can bring such a prosecution to an end by taking it over and entering a plea of "nolle prosequi";. and I suspect that if I were to bring a private prosecution against. either MI5 or one of their media tools, then this is exactly. what would happen.

So much for British justice. But if anything the wrongs that. have been perpetrated on me by. the British justice system are quite mild to what others have suffered. Others have been wrongly. convicted based on police evasions and lies, and spent. many years in jail based on false charges. I should count myself lucky that despite the. best efforts of the MI5 secret police, I. have not acquired any criminal charges or convictions; which shows that for all their. resources and influence, and the clear imperfections in the British legal system, there are still limits on. how much subversion MI5. can achieve.

The latest in a long. line of injustices

A. comment I heard during the recent scandal of the police mishandling of the Lawrence murder investigation is that "its not as. if the police themselves murdered him".. This is not a particularly wise statement to make about the case, since it could be. argued that the four alleged murderers were aided in. their crime by the expectation that the police would deliberately botch the investigation - which of course is. exactly what. the police did.

But in. my case, this would be a completely inaccurate thing to say - since the MI5 secret-police have expressly been trying to nine. years now to see

an end put to my life, either by. having me incarcerated and my liberty ended, or by forcing self-termination on me. It is exactly as. if the secret police "themselves murdered [me]", since that is what. their aim is in. their campaign against me.

The. public has heard of instances of police mishandling of cases, of police manufacturing evidence, lying. in court, extracting false confessions to clear up crimes,. et cetera. Various instances of extra-legal. activity by the secret services have also come to light in the last few years. The unhappy truth is that what MI5. have been doing to me for the last nine years. is really nothing out of the ordinary for them. To me it. seems unbelievable that this sort of systematic campaign of harassment, which thousands and thousands of people in this. country and abroad are well aware of, could exist for such a. long period of time with the media maintaining "omerta". But my case. is not the first such instance of persecution by the "British secret police", and. judging by the lack of any real. controls on MI5, I very much doubt it will be the last.

4338

--

Posted via NewsDemon.com - Premium Uncensored Newsgroup Service

----->>>>><http://www.NewsDem>
