Subject: M.I,5-Persecution - my re sponse to the harass ment Posted by vmiemefv on Tue, 01 Jan 2008 15:37:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

My first reaction in 1990/91 was to assume that if I broke. contact then they, would not be able to follow and would lose interest. So I did the things that have been, suggested by other people; I sold my television, stopped listening to the radio and tried to withdraw, away from the sources of abuse as much as, possible. I reasoned that they must have more important things, to deal with and that normal people would simply leave me alone if it were made difficult for them, to continue their harassment.

I reckoned without the sheer vindictiveness of the abusers. They. did not let up but instead "got to" people around me, mainly people at work, to. do their dirty work for. them. I went to see my GP, who refused to believe what he was being told, and refused to. direct me on to anyone who could be of practical. assistance. It was not until three years had passed that the GP admitted the matter was outside. his competence and suggested going to the police.

In the summer of 1994. we called in counter-surveillance experts from a private detective agency to sweep our house and telephone for. bugging devices. They, conducted a thorough search and found nothing; but as noted above, since the existence of surveillance, was being forced in my face by the harassers, you would expect them to, have taken the possibility of a counter-surveillance sweep into account when planning the, type of devices to, be employed.

In Easter 1995 I made a complaint to my local Police station in London,. but the police have not expressed any intention to. do anything about the continuing harassment. ("we're not saying it's happening and we're not saying it isn't happening" were the words used). I. think the officer I spoke to. at Easter wasn't aware of it happening, although other members of the police force obviously. do know.

From April 1995 until the. present time the matter has been discussed in a lot. of detail on the Usenet (Internet) "uk.misc" newsgroup. That discussion has given. birth to the article which you are now reading. My hopes in posting. to Usenet were that wider publicizing would discourage the security services. from continuing their harassment, and "draw people out" into concurring. with the truth of what was being said. Neither of those have followed, but the discussion has served a purpose. in allowing this structured report to. be created.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive