
Subject: M\I 5`Persecutio n ` buggi ng and counter-su rveillance

Posted by [evfev](#) on Tue, 01 Jan 2008 08:52:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

--=-
-=. MI5: bugging and counter-surveillance -=
--=-

PO: >Did you ever look for the bugs in your house ? If not, why not ? . I mean if
PO: >I thought. that was happening to me, I'd search the place from top to bottom,
PO: >I mean I live there I would know if anything. was out of place. If I was
PO: >really suspicious, I. would call in one of those bug detection teams which
PO:. >have those machines that pick up the transmitted radio waves. This
PO: >reminds me of BUGS, that new programme on. BBC1 on

That's exactly what we did. We went to a competent, professional. detective agency in London, paid them. over 400 quid to debug our house. They used scanner devices which go to over 1 GHz and would pick up any. nearby transmitter in that range, they. also checked the phones and found nothing... but if the tap was at the exchange, then. they wouldn't find anything,. would they?

CS: >Doesn't this suggest to you that there are, in fact, no. bugs to be found?

You can assume that they've done this sort of thing to. other people in more "serious" cases, where they. would know the targets would suspect the presence of electronic surveillance. So they. will have developed techniques and. devices which are not readily detectable either by visual inspection or by. electronic means. What those techniques might be, I couldn't guess.

In. this case, the existence of bugging devices was clear from the beginning, and they "rubbed it in" with what was said by the boy. on the coach. It was. almost as if they wanted counter-surveillance people to be called. in, who they knew would fail to detect the bugging devices, causing loss of credibility to the other things I would have to say relating to. the harassment.

I did all the things someone in my situation. would do to try to find the bugs. In. addition to calling in professional help using electronic counter-surveillance,. I made a close visual inspection of electrical equipment, plus. any points where audio or video surveillance devices might have been concealed. Of course, I found nothing. Normal. surveillance "mini-cameras" are quite noticeable and require visible. supporting circuitry. It seems to me the best place to. put a small video surveillance device would be additional to. a piece of electronic equipment such as a TV or video. It would be necessary to. physically break in to a property to fit such a. device.

