
Subject: Re: New IDL 7.0 Project Problem
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Fri, 04 Jan 2008 18:15:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Dave wrote:

>

>> I find out that IDL 7 is not a stable version. I still use IDL 6.4 and
>> not understand why ITTVIS use Ellipse. It's better IF there are two
>> environments, one for ellipse, one for trandtional way. I hope ITTVIS
>> put his forcus on the data analysis and visualization techniques.

>

> This is total BS. You might not care for Eclipse (not Ellipse),
> but IDL is NOT unstable.

I think there may be semantic or language issues with the OP's first sentence above. With apologies to the OP if I'm wrong, but I assumed English is not his first language and interpreted his use of the word "stable" accordingly; i.e. I took it to mean something like: "the learning curve for the IDL Workbench is going to interrupt my usual work flow."[*]

But, the provisional misstatement should be corrected -- as you did (all guns blazing! For this newsgroup at least :o) -- for the record. Any blame for the non-intuitivity (is that a word?) of Eclipse shouldn't be laid at IDL's door.

cheers,

paulv

[*] To say nothing of the generational (decadal? annual?) differences in the definition of "stable". :o)
