
Subject: Re: IDLdoc 3.0 released

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 19:27:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 23, 9:01 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> mgal...@gmail.com writes:

>> Use:

>

>> IDL> idldoc, root=3Droot, output=3Doutput, format_style=3D'IDL'

>

>> The default FORMAT_STYLE is 'IDLdoc' to keep backward compatibility

>> with older versions.

>

> OK, better. But a great deal of the information seems to be
> missing. Especially the descriptions of the keywords and
> parameters. Do you suppose this is because of my overuse
> of white space? Could I write my own template to parse the
> kind of document headers I write?

The problem is the license and blank lines between the ";-" and the "function aspect" line. Currently, IDLdoc associates the comment block with the file, not the routine. So some of the blocks in it are ignored. It is a current feature request to associate a file level comment header in the IDL style with the last routine in the file.

The current workaround is to make sure that ;- and "function aspect..." are adjacent, i.e. move the license to the beginning of the file.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Tech-X Corporation

Software Developer II
