
Subject: Re: IDLdoc 3.0 released

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Wed, 23 Jan 2008 18:50:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 23, 9:01 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> mgal...@gmail.com writes:

>> Use:

>

>> IDL> idldoc, root=3Droot, output=3Doutput, format_style=3D'IDL'

>

>> The default FORMAT_STYLE is 'IDLdoc' to keep backward compatibility

>> with older versions.

>

> OK, better. But a great deal of the information seems to be
> missing. Especially the descriptions of the keywords and
> parameters. Do you suppose this is because of my overuse
> of white space? Could I write my own template to parse the
> kind of document headers I write?

Let me try to doc the file your linked to and see what the problem is.
The main problem with the "IDL" style is that it is a bit "free
form".

It is possible to write your own format style parser, but that's not
for the faint of heart. I think having a more rigorous IDL format
parser would be a better solution right now.

> P.S. Mike, I feel your pain in having to devote full time
> to User Support, but it's greatly appreciated. :-)

No problem. Comments here have already helped me create more
documentation.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Tech-X Corporation

Software Developer II
