

---

Subject: Re: Speaking of curve fitting...

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Thu, 31 Jan 2008 17:04:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Vince Hradil wrote:

> On Jan 31, 10:17 am, Paul van Delst <Paul.vanDe...@noaa.gov> wrote:

>> Lasse Clausen wrote:

>>> ... run the following code, spot the difference and explain, s'il vous

>>> plait.

>>> nn = 1000

>>> xx1 = dindgen(nn)

>>> xx2 = timegen(nn, start=julday(5,25,1980,11,23))

>>> yy1 = sin(2.\*2.\*pi\*xx1/(nn-1.))

>>> d = poly\_fit(xx1, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit1, /double)

>>> d = poly\_fit(xx2, yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)

>> Try

>> d = poly\_fit(xx2-xx2[0], yy1, 6, yfit=yfit2, /double)

>>

>>> !p.multi = [0,1,2]

>>> plot, xx1, yy1, /xstyle

>>> oplot, xx1, yfit1, linestyle=1

>>> plot, xx2, yy1, /xstyle

>>> oplot, xx2, yfit2, linestyle=1

>>> end

>>> I had a quick look at POLY\_FIT.PRO but I can spot nothing which could

>>> explain the above behaviour. I run 32bit IDL 6.4 on some Linux.

>>> Cheers

>>> Lasse Clausen

>

> Sure that works, but the underlying issue is still there - why should

> it matter?

It shouldn't. But it does because...

> My guess: propagation of (roundoff) errors when poly\_fit.pro

> calculates the b-matrix.

I agree that it is likely a precision/roundoff issue... the exact mechanism may be different, but I reckon you're right. An easy test would be to see what happens using SVDFIT.

cheers,

paulv

---