
Subject: Re: Histogram and bin sizes

Posted by [Conor](#) on Thu, 21 Feb 2008 17:19:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Feb 21, 11:29 am, jeffnettles4...@gmail.com wrote:

> On Feb 21, 9:05 am, Conor <cmanc...@gmail.com> wrote:

>

>

>

>> On Feb 20, 2:43 pm, pgri...@gmail.com wrote:

>

>>> jeffnettles4...@gmail.com wrote:

>>>> I've always wondered why you have to use a constant bin size with

>>>> HISTOGRAM().

>>>> To quote J.D.'s famous tutorial: "a histogram

>>>> represents nothing more than a fancy way to count." Doesn't an

>>>> imposed constant bin size imply that this is the only way it's ok to

>>>> count? I can think of several reasons i wouldn't want to do this - I

>>>> used logarithmic bin sizes in my dissertation, for example (now i'm

>>>> hoping someone isn't going to answer this post saying i screwed up in

>>>> my dissertation :-)).

>

>>> I use logarithmic bins myself quite often, and the fact that a

>>> logarithmic bin

>>> size is the same as a constant bin size in log space, makes it is easy

>>> to use histogram to get that. Less regulars binning don't work with

>>> histogram, but nobody stops you from writing your own version to work

>>> with them (it will not be as fast as histogram though).

>

>>> Ciao,

>>> Paolo

>

>>>> And besides, Excel lets you use arbitrary bin

>>>> sizes....and if Excel lets you do it, it has to be ok, right???? ;-)

>

>>>> Jeff

>

>> You can always do whatever binning you want, you just have to

>> transform your data to the new space and then bin it constantly. Why

>> doesn't histogram let you use arbitrary binsizes? Not being an IDL

>> developer I don't know for sure, but I would guess it's a speed

>> issue. The simpler a program is the faster it is. I use histogram

>> all the time because it's one of the speedier programs in IDL. It

>> would make me very sad if in order to make histogram more flexible, it

>> also became much slower, especially since by transforming my data set

>> I can use arbitrary bin sizes for histogram.

>

> That actually sounds like what i've done in the past. For my

> dissertation i needed two kinds of histograms: logarithmic bins
 > (which was fine, no trouble there) and bins that had arbitrary sizes.
 > For the latter, i would either do the histograms in Excel (yuck) or
 > compute two or three histograms in IDL using histogram() with
 > different bin sizes and sort of do some "mixing and matching" of the
 > resulting arrays to get what i wanted. Of course, David hadn't
 > written his awesome histoplot routine yet then either :(Anyway, i'm
 > up against the arbitrary bin sizes problem again for a project i'm
 > doing for someone, and it got me wondering whether this situation is
 > just so rare it wasn't worth supporting in histogram(). I wouldn't
 > want to lose histogram's speed either though.
 >
 > Jeff

Arbitrary bin sizes should be pretty easy to program. You just need to map your data points appropriately. For instance if you had the data set:

```
x = randomu(seed,100)
```

and you wanted bins from:

```
[0-.1,.1-.3,.3-.35,.35-.8,.8-1]
```

you might do something like this:

```

x = randomu(seed,100)
bins = [ [0,.1], [.1,.3], [.3,.35], [.35,.8], [.8,1] ]
newx = fltarr(n_elements(x))
for i=0,n_elements(bins[0,*])-1 do begin
  w = where( x ge bins[0,i] and x lt bins[1,i], c )
  if c gt 0 then newx[w] = i+.5
endfor

```

```

hist = histogram(newx,binsize=1.0,min=0)
plothist,newx

```
