

---

Subject: Re: Numbers from nowhere?

Posted by [elwood](#) on Mon, 25 Feb 2008 17:57:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Thanks,  
very succinct and clear explanation.  
Fun with Binary and Computer Precision is what I summarize  
the topic as ;-)

-Elisha

On Feb 21, 7:06 am, Sven Utcke <[utcke+n...@informatik.uni-hamburg.de](mailto:utcke+n...@informatik.uni-hamburg.de)>  
wrote:

> David Fanning <[n...@dfanning.com](mailto:n...@dfanning.com)> writes:

>> elwood writes:

>

>>> But my question is more pointed: if you assign  $x=3.3$  and you know

>>> apriori that the floating point data type will not have enough bits

>>> to store this number precisely, why does "print" show this number

>>> as 3.3?

>

>> I presume it is because whatever number *is* stored, when rounded to

>> the 7-8 significant figures a float can accurately represent, comes

>> out to 3.300000.

>

> What number *\_is\_* stored, actually? Assuming we are talking ieee, we

> have one bit for the sign, 8 for the exponent, and 23 for the

> mantissa. So what is 3.3?

>

>  $3 = 11 = 1.1 * 2^1$

>  $0.3 = 0.010011001100110011001100110011001100110011001100...$

> which we see from

>

>  $0.3*2 = \_0\_.6$

>  $0.6*2 = \_1\_.2$

>  $0.2*2 = \_0\_.4$

>  $0.4*2 = \_0\_.8$

>  $0.8*2 = \_1\_.6$

>  $0.6*2 = \dots$

>

> so we get, combined,

>

>  $3.3 = 1.10100110011001100110011 * 2^1$

>

> or

>

> S | Exp + 127 | Mantissa without leading 1

> 0 | 1000000 | 1010011 00110011 00110011

>  
> which, if we recombine it, turns out to be 3.2999999523162841796875  
>  
> We can actually see this in IDL too:  
>  
> IDL> print, byte(3.3,0,4)  
> 51 51 83 64  
> Which, if we rewrite it appropriately, turns out to be:  
>  
> 01000000 01010011 00110011 T  
> which, recombined differently, is the above number :-)  
>  
> Sven  
> --  
> \_\_\_\_ \_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ Dr.-Ing. Sven Utcke \_\_\_\_\_

---