Subject: Should we split this group? (was Re: Lift the "ban" :-)) Posted by zawodny on Thu, 14 Mar 1996 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <4i76uh\$o7g@fu-berlin.de> Sergey Senin <ss@ee.port.ac.uk> writes:

- > todd@rainbow.rmii.com (Todd Bradley) wrote:
- >
- >> The problem is that one man's technical issue is another man's
- >> marketing hype or slander.

>

- > Can't we sort of restrict participation of the employees of companies A and B
- > :-) to a certain area, say employees of company A or B can only answer
- > questions about products A or B respectively if this questions are from the
- > range "How do I do this or that?".
- >> Historically, employees of company A
- >> felt that just about every article posted by employees of company
- >> B were attempts at marketing or selling company B's product.

>

> This is called paranoia, isn't it? :-)) ;-)) :-))

>

I think that we are all missing the obvious solution here, namely that we split the group into comp.lang.rsi-idl (I think comp.lang.idl is taken) and comp.lang.pvwave. The two have diverged sufficiently in the last few years that I think there is little reason to logically associate them with the same group. In this way Company A can still snoop on Company B's group, but they cannot claim that Company B is advertising to a captive audience of Company A users. Let's face it, this group is 95% IDL related right now. So, let's kick the PV-WAVE users out on their own. I'm sure they'll stick around after the split, since this (IDL) group has the knowledge base and can solve a large number of PV-WAVE problems/questions.

So what do you think?

--

Dr. Joseph M. Zawodny KO4LW E-mail: J.M.Zawodny@LaRC.NASA.gov

NASA Langley Research Center MS-475, Hampton VA, 23681-0001