Subject: Re: Paths in the Workbench
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Mon, 31 Mar 2008 18:18:17 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## David Fanning wrote:

- >> I have been trying to give it the benefit of the doubt. If I turn
- >> every feature off (which is pretty much what I have done), then the
- >> Workbench is a worse editor than the one in IDL 6.4 and with a memory
- >> footprint 10-12 times its previous size. Please tell me there are some
- >> redeeming features I've overlooked here. :-(

>

- > Of course, I could "build" my project, which works spectacularly
- > if I have been careful to write all my project programs as procedures.
- > But heaven help you if you thought you needed a function. There is
- > no way on God's green Earth you are even going to get \*that\* project
- > to
- > build correctly.

>

- > I see there is a provision for specifying your own custom build
- > command, but
- > I can't find hide nor hair of an example that would explain how you
- > might do so. :-(

It's a complete over-generalisation, but I think the younger generation eshews documentation also (and maybe rightly so, given the speed of change. Once the docs are complete they're already obsolete.)

> Cheers (but not very cheery, this morning),

Understandingly Yours,

paulv

p.s. Persistence is a virtue; and, boy-o-boy, you've got bucketloads. Persistence, I mean. :o)