
Subject: Re: Paths in the Workbench

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Mon, 31 Mar 2008 18:18:17 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

>> I have been trying to give it the benefit of the doubt. If I turn
>> every feature off (which is pretty much what I have done), then the
>> Workbench is a worse editor than the one in IDL 6.4 and with a memory
>> footprint 10-12 times its previous size. Please tell me there are some
>> redeeming features I've overlooked here. :-(

>

> Of course, I could "build" my project, which works spectacularly
> if I have been careful to write all my project programs as procedures.
> But heaven help you if you thought you needed a function. There is
> no way on God's green Earth you are even going to get *that* project
> to
> build correctly.

>

> I see there is a provision for specifying your own custom build
> command, but
> I can't find hide nor hair of an example that would explain how you
> might do so. :-(

It's a complete over-generalisation, but I think the younger generation eshews documentation also (and maybe rightly so, given the speed of change. Once the docs are complete they're already obsolete.)

> Cheers (but not very cheery, this morning),

Understandingly Yours,

paulv

p.s. Persistence is a virtue; and, boy-o-boy, you've got bucketloads. Persistence,
I mean. :o)