Subject: Re: Pleasant Workbench Surprise Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Thu, 03 Apr 2008 15:04:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

> Folks,

>

- > Here is something for the How-Come-I-Never-Saw-That-Before?
- > file

>

- > One of the things that has annoyed me about the Workbench is
- > that I am never sure where the file I am editing has come from.
- > Is this the Coyote project version of the file, or my client
- > version of the file?

>

- > But I was just sitting here, thinking, staring into space
- > and idling clicking on the editor tabs when I realized that
- > for whatever file I am editing, its complete path name
- > appears in the window title bar of the Workbench. Duh...

....or in the status bar in most editors.

Crikey, David, I think all those years of Windows usage has led to too-low expectations.

:0)

Working on my trunk and various branches in my subversion working copy, I can have one editor session/window that has the same named-file opened but from different branches, or the trunk (for times when I'm testing pre- or post-merge). The way to tell which file I'm working on is via the editor status bar where the full path is given.

Same goes for my terminal windows. I have one X-window, but it contains several tabs (for different branches; or for when I'm compiling and running f95 code in one tabbed session, and displaying the results via IDL in another.)

I've been doing that for, crikey, nearly 8-9 years now on linux. Doesn't *every* OS offer that sort of functionality? (I don't think Mac's do, but they have that handy fn-9 key that "splays" out your desktop. Not ideal, but sufficient... and cool to watch :o).

\sim	h	\sim	Δ	rc
C		e	-	

paulv