
Subject: Re: Most Common IDL Programming Errors
Posted by [Vince Hradil](#) on Wed, 09 Apr 2008 16:39:55 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Apr 9, 10:26 am, ri...@crd.ge.com wrote:

>> What would be on your list?

>

> These are my top gotchas:

>

> 1) IDL silently truncates array operations to the shorter array.

>

> help, [1,2,3,4] - [1,2]

> [0, 0]

>

> (I wish there were a compile_opt switch to prohibit this!0

>

> 2) Where() returns a one-element array when there is only one match.

>

> help, where([1,2,3,4] EQ 4)

> <Expression> LONG = ARRAY[1]

>

> The combination (1) and (2) is deadly:

>

> array = [1, 2, 3, 4]

> j = where(array EQ max(array))

> array -= array[j]

> print, array

> -3

>

> My solution is to use a wrapper around where() which will return a

> scalar.

>

> 3) Operations which mix signed and unsigned integers can't be trusted.

>

> maxuint = 'ffff'xu

> help, maxuint

> MAXUINT UINT = 65535

> print, fix(1) GT maxuint

> 1

> print, long(1) GT maxuint

> 0

>

> These results can be understood using IDL's promotion rules for mixed

> expressions,

> but it's just not worth it. My solution: Never use unsigned integers.

>

> (Why would one use unsigned integers, you might ask? I've done a few

> bit-level

- > simulations of digital hardware using IDL. It would be conceptually
- > simpler to
- > use unsigned integer variables to represent unsigned quantities or
- > signed quantities
- > where the sign bit is not the left-most bit.)

I just got stung with this one:

Changing a passed variable in a function, then assuming it has changed:

```
function foo, bar
  bar = bar/2.0
  foobar = 7.0 * bar
  return, foobar
end
```

```
pro testit
  bar = 8.0
  fb = foo(bar)
  print, fb, bar
end
```
