

---

Subject: Re: problem with builtin restore

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Mon, 28 Apr 2008 15:52:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Reimar Bauer <R.Bauer@fz-juelich.de> writes:

> Reimar Bauer schrieb:

>> Hi

>> we found a bug in restore of idl6.4 today. (linux version)

>> if you restore lots of files with always the same vars stored we

>> would

>> suppose that the memory allocation is always only done from the last

>> restore you did.

>> It looks like that you can overwrite the vars without reallocating

>> the

>> memory.

>> The cmrestore by CraigMarkwardt does it right.

>> cheers

>> Reimar Bauer

>

> hmm I'm currently not sure if craigs routine does allocate different.

Reimar, CMSAVE/CMRESTORE just use standard IDL variables, so the allocation should be the same as IDL's standard allocation/deallocation. This is not quite true if you use pointers within your saved data, but this does not apply to your example.

Craig

---