## Subject: Re: Is zero-degree fitting possible?

Posted by thompson on Thu, 11 Apr 1996 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

korpela@islay.ssl.berkeley.edu (Eric J. Korpela) writes:

- > In article <4kgkvb\$kpj@lastactionhero.rs.itd.umich.edu>,
- > Khai Trinh Pham <kpham@umich.edu> wrote:

>>

- >> I am having problems doing a very simple zero-degree fit, i.e. fitting
- >> only one parameter. I've tried POLY FIT, CURVEFIT, and SVDFIT.
- >> They each return the following error:

>>

- >> IDL> F = POLY\_FIT(Ycalculated, Yexperiment, 0)
- >> % INVERT: Input must be a square matrix: A.
- >> % Error occurred at: POLY FIT 79 @IDL\_DIR:[LIB]LIB.TLB(POLY\_FIT)
- > The internal invert function cannot invert a 1x1 array. Kind of silly.
- >> I just want to find F such that (F \* Ycalculated) gives the best fit
- >> to (Yexperiment).

>>

- >> Am I missing something really simple here?
- > It looks to me that what you want is....
- > f=total(Yexperiment)/total(Ycalculated)
- > Which is the solution to

- > or better yet, minimize the rms of (f\*y\_c-y\_e) which would > give you.....
- > f=total(Yexperiment\*Ycalculated)/total(Ycalculated\*Ycalculat ed)

Or more generally, if you know the errors in each of the measured data points, then the best fit value for F would be

f = total((Yexperiment\*Ycalculated)/Yerror^2) / total(Ycalculated^2/Yerror^2)

What you're really asking for is not a fit to a zero-degree polynomial, but a fit to a first-degree polynomial with the zero-order term forced equal to 0. Thus, even if you were able to pass the parameter 0 into POLY\_FIT or any of the other routines, then it wouldn't have given you the right answer anyway.

## Bill Thompson