On May 3, 8:20 am, henryg...@gmail.com wrote:
> On May 2, 12:39 pm, "Kenneth P. Bowman" <k-bow...@null.edu> wrote:
>
>
>
>> In article
>> < c76c7777-b3ab-4645-b22a-c90be59a1...@t54g2000hsg.googlegroup s.com >,
>> Brian Larsen <balar...@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>> Rick,
>
>>> On this point
>
>>>> In any case, if you need a single process size to exceed 4GB, use a 64-
>>>> bit OS.
>
>>> at the risk of being a little ignorant here. I ran this test on
>>> Leopard (10.5.2) which is reported to be a 64-bit OS...
>>> http://www.apple.com/macosx/technology/64bit.html
>>> Making this an issue of having 32-bit IDL not a 32-bit OS. Right?
>
>> Yes, I'm sure I'm not the only one waiting for ITTVIS
>> to make a 64-bit version for Mac OS X.
>
>> Ken
>
> I'm waiting too...
> -henry

I asked about the timeframe for the 64bit verison of IDL on OS X when
I renewed my maintenance contract a few months ago. Apparently they
are aiming for May. We'll see how that works out.

dave