

---

Subject: Re: Is zero-degree fitting possible?

Posted by [korpela](#) on Wed, 10 Apr 1996 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In article <4kgkvb\$kpj@lastactionhero.rs.itd.umich.edu>,

Khai Trinh Pham <kpham@umich.edu> wrote:

>

> I am having problems doing a very simple zero-degree fit, i.e. fitting

> only one parameter. I've tried POLY\_FIT, CURVEFIT, and SVDFIT.

> They each return the following error:

>

> IDL> F = POLY\_FIT(Ycalculated, Yexperiment, 0)

> % INVERT: Input must be a square matrix: A.

> % Error occurred at: POLY\_FIT 79 @IDL\_DIR:[LIB]LIB.TLB(POLY\_FIT)

The internal invert function cannot invert a 1x1 array. Kind of silly.

> I just want to find F such that (F \* Ycalculated) gives the best fit

> to (Yexperiment).

>

> Am I missing something really simple here?

It looks to me that what you want is....

$f = \text{total}(Y_{\text{experiment}}) / \text{total}(Y_{\text{calculated}})$

Which is the solution to

n

---

>  $(f * y_c - y_e) = 0$

---

0

or better yet, minimize the rms of  $(f * y_c - y_e)$  which would give you.....

$f = \text{total}(Y_{\text{experiment}} * Y_{\text{calculated}}) / \text{total}(Y_{\text{calculated}} * Y_{\text{calculated}})$

Eric

--

Eric Korpela | An object at rest can never be

korpela@ssl.berkeley.edu | stopped.

<a href="http://www.cs.indiana.edu/finger/mofo.ssl.berkeley.edu/korpela/w">

Click here for more info.</a>

---