
Subject: Re: IDL Matrix Multiply and Dual-Core CPUs
Posted by [Pierre V.](#) on Fri, 09 May 2008 18:34:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Samuel,

I saw a very similar problem with my quad-core PC running XP (32 bit) with 4gigs of ram. I re-ran my test script on our two-core, 4-gig linux box and got similar results with just slightly different array sizes. Here is the script I ran:

```
cpu, /reset
```

```
help, !cpu, /str
```

```
Nk = 258
```

```
K = fltarr(Nk, Nk)
```

```
;
```

```
; Case 1.
```

```
;
```

```
Npix = 129047
```

```
d = fltarr(Npix, Nk)
```

```
t0 = systime(1)
```

```
d #= K
```

```
t1 = systime(1) - t0
```

```
print, 'Case #1: ', Npix, t1
```

```
;
```

```
; Case 2.
```

```
;
```

```
Npix = Npix + 1
```

```
d = fltarr(Npix, Nk)
```

```
t0 = systime(1)
```

```
d #= K
```

```
t2 = systime(1) - t0
```

```
print, 'Case #2: ', Npix, t2
```

On each of our computers case #2 used all available cores while case #1 only used one core. The only difference between them is the dimension of one of the arrays (Npix) is simply incremented by one. The total memory used by the IDL process during this test is never more and two-hundred megs or so. There is no way this problem is due to a lack of physical memory. The sizes of these arrays are also significantly larger than the default minimum number of elements (default = 10000) required to enable multi-threading.

Any ideas?

Pierre
