## Subject: Re: IDL Matrix Multiply and Dual-Core CPUs Posted by s.haenger on Fri, 09 May 2008 18:28:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 9 Mai, 20:20, FÖLDY Lajos <fo...@rmki.kfki.hu> wrote:
> oops, I have written IDL 7 time to the ATLAS test. Corrected below.
>
> lajos
> I have run some tests on a quad-core Intel Core2 Q6600 / linux 64 bit
> machine.
 On Fri, 9 May 2008, s.haen...@gmail.com wrote:
>
>
   Hi,
>
>
   I have a Problem with IDL 7.0
>
   We have to multiply large matrices. With some matrix sizes, the CPU
   usage is 100% but for most of the matrices it is 50%. (I'm runnning it
>
   on a Intel T7250 (Dual Core, 2GHz, 2MB L2 Cache))
>
   The CPU System Variable is configured like this:
>
   IDL> print, !CPU
>
                          2
                                   2
           0
>
                 0}
>
   100000
>
   Now we do this:
   matA = randomn(42, 2000, 2200)
   matB = randomn(43, 2020, 2000)
>
   matIdI = matA##matB
>
   So now i've got a CPU usage of 100%
>
>
    # of threads IDL 7 time
>
        1
               12.476210
>
       2
               6.5931890
>
       3
               5.2085290
>
       4
>
               4.9191489
> it scales well for two cores, so the CPU usage should be near 100% for two
> threads.
   but with this:
>
   matA = randomn(42, 2500, 2500)
>
   matB = randomn(43, 2520, 2500)
   matIdl = matA##matB
>
   the cpu usage is around 50%-60%
```

```
>
    # of threads IDL 7 time
>
        1
              22.034877
>
       2
              11.681226
>
       3
               9.7771089
>
>
       4
               9.3093379
>
> again, CPU usage should be near 100% for two cores.
  Just for comparison, ATLAS (http://math-atlas.sf.net) times:
>
>
    # of threads ATLAS time
>
               4.4285851
>
        1
       4
               1.1784132
>
> and
>
    # of threads ATLAS time
        1
               7.8148808
>
       4
               2.1345751
>
> regards,
> lajos
>
>
Sorry, I forgot to mention... I'm running Windows XP 32bit with 2GB of
Ram
I also tested it on a second machine with a 3GHz Dual Core and it
```

showed the same cpu usages...

regards, Samueö