Subject: Re: problem in using function II_to_utm.pro Posted by Baikal on Wed, 21 May 2008 01:37:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On May 20, 8:19 pm, Baikal <royou...@cnu.ac.kr> wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>> To all,
>
>> I am a physical oceanographer who needs to use your idl program in my
>> model output post-processing.
>
>> While utilizing your utm conversion program (II to utm.pro) in my
>> research work, I have a problem due to zone change so that I encounter
>> a discontinuity problems as follows;
>> test lon=[125.999,126.000]; define test lon & lat
    test lat=[36.000,36.000]
    ; test output
>>
    for i=0.1 do
>>
    print,i,test_lon(i),test_lat(i),ll_to_utm(test_lon(i),test_l at(i))
             125.999
                         36.0000
                                     770330.54
        0
                                                    3988106.3
>>
        1
             126.000
                         36.0000
                                     229579.34
                                                    3988109.1
>>
>> I undrestand this is due to zone change from 51 to 52.
>> I wonder how I can avoid this trouble in map drawing where my
>> coastline data points lie over 125 to 127 E longitude.
>
>> I appreciate your helps.
>
> Hi,
>
> I think I might have prepared that routine. To my understanding, you
> don't want to work across UTM zones. My memory is a little rusty, but
> I recall that the warping is minimized along central meridian of any
> zone. I take that to mean that distortion is maximized along the
> edges.
>
> On the other hand, I suppose it is possible to offset the values in
> one zone against the central meridian of the other - after all, the
> origin of any UTM zone is some arbitrary value. You would have to
> dive into the Snyder work referenced in the code. In any event, I
> wonder why you are not mapping with your lat lon values directly. Why
> bother going to UTM coords?
```

> While we are at it, I have posted an update to that collection files -> in particular to UTM_ZONE so that it behaves a little better with > vectors of inputs. See ... > > http://www.tidewater.net/~pemaquid/geo.zip > > Cheers,

In my post-processing of model output, I am calculating the trajectory coordinates in metric unit so that to display the, trajectories of number of particles, I need to set up the coordinate in terms of UTM. That's why I bother myself and you in trying to use UTM.

Thanks for your quick reply

Young Jae Ro

>