
Subject: Re: Weird behaviour in SURFACE procedure

Posted by [Bob\[3\]](#) on Tue, 17 Jun 2008 15:37:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jun 17, 10:05 am, Paul van Delst <Paul.vanDe...@noaa.gov> wrote:

> Hello,

>

> I put together the following test case:

>

> pro testit, lego=lego

> t = [273.0,279.0,285.0,291.0,297.0,303.0]

> s = [20.0,22.0,24.0,26.0,28.0,30.0,32.0,34.0,36.0,38.0,40.0]

> e = [[79.3482,77.6361,75.9746,74.5451,73.0994,71.4641],\$

> [79.1002,77.3120,75.5867,74.0939,72.6238,71.0040],\$

> [78.8522,76.9879,75.1988,73.6427,72.1483,70.5440],\$

> [78.6042,76.6638,74.8109,73.1916,71.6728,70.0839],\$

> [78.3561,76.3397,74.4230,72.7404,71.1973,69.6238],\$

> [78.1081,76.0156,74.0351,72.2892,70.7218,69.1638],\$

> [77.8601,75.6915,73.6473,71.8380,70.2463,68.7037],\$

> [77.6121,75.3674,73.2594,71.3869,69.7708,68.2436],\$

> [77.3641,75.0433,72.8715,70.9357,69.2953,67.7836],\$

> [77.1161,74.7192,72.4836,70.4845,68.8198,67.3235],\$

> [76.8680,74.3951,72.0957,70.0333,68.3443,66.8634]]

> window,0

> surface, e, t, s,\$

> xtitle='Temperature (K)', \$

> ytitle='Salinity (ppt)', \$

> ztitle='Static permittivity', \$

> charsize=3.0, lego=lego

> window,1

> contour, e, t, s,\$

> xtitle='Temperature (K)', \$

> ytitle='Salinity (ppt)', \$

> title='Static permittivity', \$

> levels=[66,68,70,72,74,76,78,80], \$

> c_labels=[1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1], \$

> c_charsize=1.0

> isurface, e, t, s,\$

> xtitle='Temperature (K)', \$

> ytitle='Salinity (ppt)', \$

> ztitle='Static permittivity'

> end

>

> If I simply run the above, the result of the SURFACE procedure does not match either the
> CONTOUR or ISURFACE output. It's as though there has been a rotation of 90deg clockwise in
> the surface, but not the axes. If you count the number of datapoints in the x- (or
> temperature) direction of the surface plot, I see 11 values and it should be 6.

>

> However, if I use the /LEGO keyword, then the SURFACE output seems correct compared to the
> other two plots.
>
> Note that the max value of the data should occur on the T=273K, S=20.0ppt corner, and the
> min value in the T=303K, S=40.0ppt corner.
>
> Can someone else run the above test code and verify?
>
> I'm running:
>
> IDL> print, !version
> { x86 linux unix linux 7.0 Oct 25 2007 32 64}
>
> on RHE 4.0
>
> Thanks for any feedback.
>
> cheers,
>
> paulv

Same result here (WinXp) ... but I think what you're seeing is the underside of the surface on the surface plot.

Bob.
