
Subject: Re: Is System Dependent Compilation Possible?
Posted by [Thomas A. McGlynn](#) on Thu, 25 Apr 1996 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

plugge@biv6.sr.fht-mannheim.de wrote:

>
> In article <317EE71F.31D2@raptor.lpl.arizona.edu>, Tim Patterson
> <tim@raptor.lpl.arizona.edu> writes:
> |>I have a tool written in IDL/Fortran and C that needs to
> |>run on both Unix and VMS systems. I have a few system
> |>dependent IDL procedures that I use as wrappers for the
> |>CALL_EXTERNALS.
> |>

.... ideas that didn't work snipped.

> |>Anybody got any ideas?
> |>
> |> Thanks
> |>
> |> Tim
> |>
>
> Tim,
> the problem is that the executive commands (for example, .run etc) cannot
> be called from normal program code. You should make the distinction in the
> procedure body, for example:
>
> pro wrapper
> IF !version.os EQ 'vms' then begin
> print,"wrapper: VMS version"
> (VMS code goes here)
> endif else begin
> print,"wraper: UNIX version"
> (UNIX code goes here)
> endif
> end
>
> best regards
>
> Michel
>
> -----
> Michael Plugge _ Fachhochschule Mannheim
> Institute for Statistics / \ Hochschule fuer Technik und Gestaltung
> and Image Processing / \ Email: plugge@biv7.sr.fh-mannheim.de
> Speyerer Str. 4 / \ Tel: 0621 2926208
> 68163 Mannheim / \ --o ^

```

> Germany      /      --      - \<,- / \
>              /      \      (_)/(_) /  \
> -----

```

If you really need separate files you could use the following technique. It's a bit of a kludge but not too bad.

Put your machine dependent code in files which you suffix with the machine name and create a dummy procedure at the end of the file with the same name as the file. Then you can do:

```

IF !version.os EQ 'vms' then begin
  procedure_vms
ENDIF ELSE BEGIN
  procedure_unix
endelse

```

```

; Now the correct version of procedure is compiled and I can use
; it as I wish.

```

where procedure_vms.pro would look like:

```

pro procedure ..... ; The system dependent procedure or function to
                    ; be compiled.
....               ; Body of procedure
end
....               ; Any associated system-dependent
                    ; procedures you want in the same file.

pro procedure_vms   ; A dummy procedure with no body or arguments.
end

```

One benefit of this is that if the system dependent procedure calls a lot of system dependent sub-procedures (or functions), you can put the primary procedure first since the whole file will get processed as long as the dummy procedure is last. I hate having to put all the helper functions in my large procedures first. It seems counterintuitive and makes it more difficult to follow the program flow.

I confess I've never actually used this technique in production code, but it should work ok.

Good luck,
Tom McGlynn
tam@silk.gsfc.nasa.gov
HEASARC