

---

Subject: Re: x\*x versus x^2

Posted by [Conor](#) on Wed, 09 Jul 2008 17:10:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Jul 9, 12:57 pm, Bruce Bowler <[bbow...@bigelow.org](mailto:bbow...@bigelow.org)> wrote:

> On Wed, 09 Jul 2008 09:43:27 -0700, Conor wrote:

>> On Jul 9, 12:32 pm, Conor <[cmanc...@gmail.com](mailto:cmanc...@gmail.com)> wrote:

>>> So I've been looking at execution time for various algorithms, and I

>>> found this interesting result:

>

>>> bigarr = fltarr(1000,1000)

>

>>> t1 = systime(/seconds)

>>> t = bigarr^2.0

>>> t2 = systime(/seconds)

>>> t = bigarr\*bigarr

>>> t3 = systime(/seconds)

>

>>> print,t2-t1

>>> print,t3-t2

>

>>> IDL prints:

>

>>> 0.024163008

>>> 0.010262012

>

>>> Apparently multiplying an array by itself is twice as fast as using the

>>> carat operator! Anyone know why this is? Is it a memory issue or

>>> something?

>

>> This also holds true for array's smaller than the multi-threading

>> minimum size, so it isn't because multi-threading is being used in one

>> case but not the other...

>

> Digging into the deep dark recesses of my brain...

>

> exponentiation with a real exponent generally uses the log function to do

> it's thing. \*some\* language implementations are smart enough that if the

> exponent is an integer, they decompose the exponentiation into

> multiplication.

>

> It might be worth trying your experiment with t=bigarr^2 and see how the

> results change.

>

> Bruce

Interesting... I tried your suggestion and got this result:

0.018048048  
0.010533094

So it is still slower, but the difference is smaller. A calculation like this is rarely the bottleneck for speed in a program, so I probably won't worry about it too much, but it is an interesting fact to be aware of...

---