Haje Korth writes:

> That's what I thought when I got my first beta for IDL 7. But I have a
> problem with adding complexity to my work flow where it si really not
> needed. Hey, I think it is great the functionality is in there for those who
> need it. It is just not for me.

Actually, when you finally get the PATH stuff sorted
out (and the latest update removes the most egregious
problems with PATH-shifting), the Workbench is not too
bad. I've even been using it to build projects for
distribution on the Virtual Machine. (Although
I'm still confused about how to add projects to projects.
I don't think this works like it is suppose to yet.)

But I do like the way I can keep my data and resources
together with the source code I am working on. I find
that enhances the work flow, rather than detracting
from it.

And I even find I'm using some of the multitudinous
windows in the Workbench. I guess that's progress.
I still use the IDL 6.4 Help, though. I can't wait
the five minutes it takes the IDL 7 help to start up,
plus the time it takes to configure the darn thing
to show you the index instead of the content. Wish
that could be fixed.

> Then again, the iTools are not either.

Alas, I still don't know how to work an iTool. I keep hoping
Ken is going to write a book about it.

Cheers,

David
--
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")