Subject: Re: Something Has Changed Posted by Michael Galloy on Fri, 18 Jul 2008 15:50:05 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Jul 18, 9:32 am, Douglas Dirks <ddirk...@gmail.com> wrote: > IDL's behavior when searching for routines to compile or restore > has not changed -- not in a long time, anyway. In looking at the > documentation on automatic compilation, though, I see that the > behavior is not particularly well described. I'm adding the following > section to the "Automatic Compilation and Execution" topic: > A Note on Files with Duplicate Names > > If multiple .pro or .sav files with the same base name exist in the > directories > specified by the !PATH system variable, IDL will compile or restore > the first > file it finds, according to the following rules: > 1) IDL begins searching in the first directory specified by the !PATH system variable. > 2) If a .pro file whose base name matches the routine specified exists in the directory being searched, IDL compiles it, runs > the routine, and stops searching. > > 3) If a .sav file whose base name matches the routine specified > exists in the directory being searched, IDL restores it, runs > the routine, and stops searching. > 4) IDL proceeds to the next directory specified by the !PATH > system variable and begins testing again with step 2. > > > 5) If no file whose base name matches the routine specified is found in the directories specified by the !PATH system variable. > IDL issues an > Attempt to call undefined procedure/function > error, and halts execution. I hope that clears things up a bit, > Doug Dirks

I think it would also be useful to include searching for system routines/DLM PATH and files in the current directory in your steps. Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com Tech-X Corporation Software Developer II