
Subject: Re: IDL Average Value Graphs
Posted by [Jean H.](#) on Fri, 18 Jul 2008 17:20:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Jean H writes:
>
>> the algorithm used is a bit fuzzy.... people, including myself, often
>> complain here that some pixels get selected while other do not (make a
>> few tests). As David mentioned, IDLgrROI provides better results. Now it
>> all depends on what you are doing... if you select a few 100 000 pixels,
>> you might not care that much about having a few extra/missing pixels!
>
> I found the discussion we were having way back in 2001.
> And there was even a test program that shows the problem.
> (It's still a problem, I guess, as I just ran it in IDL 7.0.3.)
>
> <http://tinyurl.com/58cv6k>
>
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> P.S. Does time pass exponentially faster as you age? Weird. :-(
>

hum... it was in November 2006 indeed :-) ... but I still feel as if it
was yesterday... maybe because this issue was painful at this time (you
know, your program is "almost" done, with "just" a few bugs/features to
fix... and then you spend 3 weeks on a "detail"...)

Jean
