Subject: newbie wants to enforce "array conservation" Posted by Tom Roche on Tue, 22 Jul 2008 00:51:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

How to check that two arrays have the same totals, to some tolerance? and to throw an error if they don't? Especially if they are not the same size? (Apologies if these are FAQs, but I've googled and searched the online help and I'm not seeing it.) 3 more detailed questions below:

I'm massaging netCDF files containing data on emissions over space and time. (Sometimes space is 2D, others 3D.) I want to ensure that I'm not corrupting the emissions, e.g. by conserving mass. I'm guessing a straightforward way to verify conservation is to check that, after each step in the overall process, the sum of emissions in the pre-massage file matches the sum of emissions in the post-massage file. I remember just enough of my undergraduate scientific-computing course to know that I want to match subject to some tolerance. I don't know IDL very well, but I can see

http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/idl_html_help/ARRAY_EQUAL.html

That should work for massages that don't change the size of the data: unfortunately I must also do regridding, which changes the size. For size-invariant massage I'm thinking I should do something like this:

; time is the first dimension in all these arrays timeIndex=1 ; read pre-massage data into array "before" ; read post-massage data into array "after" ; total before before_total=TOTAL(before,timeIndex,/NAN) badval=WHERE(before_total eq 0, ct) IF ct ne 0 THEN before_total[badval]=0 ; total after after_total=TOTAL(after,timeIndex,/NAN) badval=WHERE(after_total eq 0, ct) IF ct ne 0 THEN after_total[badval]=0 ; check match including size IF not ARRAY_EQUAL(before_total, after_total, /NO_TYPECONV) THEN <three vertical eq 0, ct/strow error/>

Does that look right? If so,

- 1 How does one typically throw a (non-GUI) error in IDL?
- 2 How does ARRAY_EQUAL handle tolerance? I was somewhat surprised that there was not, e.g., a keyword. Am I missing something?

If not, how should size-invariant array matching be done?

For size-variant massage (i.e. SIZE(input) ne SIZE(output)) one cannot use ARRAY_EQUAL, because it checks that array sizes match. (Or am I missing something?) So I'm thinking I should verify size-variant massages by just matching the scalar sums, e.g.

; read pre-massage data into array "before"
; read post-massage data into array "after"
; scalar total before
before_total=TOTAL(before,/NAN)
; scalar total after
after_total=TOTAL(after,/NAN)
; check match ignoring size
diff=ABS(before_total-after_total)
tolerance=<some small float/>
IF diff gt tolerance THEN <throw error/>

Does that look right? If so,

3 How does one determine a good tolerance value?

If not, how should size-variant array matching be done?

TIA, Tom Roche < Tom_Roche@pobox.com>