
Subject: Re: Matching Lats and Lons from two arrays
Posted by [Juggernaut](#) on Tue, 26 Aug 2008 16:58:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Aug 26, 12:11 pm, Brian Larsen <balars...@gmail.com> wrote:

> I have tried this on several occasions (for a little different
> application but I think its the same) and have had no luck eliminating
> the for loop, so I just wrote it in a function to hide it from
> myself. This is my try at this based on value
locate:[http://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL/Entries/2008/1/7_round2array_\(...](http://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL/Entries/2008/1/7_round2array_(...)
>
> If others know how to eliminate the for loop that would be fantastic.
>
> Cheers,
>
> Brian
>
> -----
> Brian Larsen
> Boston University
> Center for Space Physics<http://people.bu.edu/balarsen/Home/IDL>

Not sure if the following is what you're looking for but first off you
have in your one line

```
FOR K = 0, CLOSE_LATS DO BEGIN
```

which doesn't make sense based upon what search2d returns (an array)

maybe it was really `n_elements(CLOSE_LATS)`?...I don't know

But maybe the following will lead you to the promise land or far far
away from it

Well I'd say if you're `dlat(192,139)` means that you have 139 lats for
each of the 192 columns then you could do something like

```
CS_LATLON(0,4607)
```

```
dlat(192,139)
```

```
x2 = rebin(reform(dlat[0,*],139),139,4607)
```

```
x3 = rebin(reform(CS_LATLON,1,4607), 139,4607)
```

```
indices = where(abs(x3-x2) LT 1e-4)
```

`x2[indices]` gives the matching lats to within 1e-4

In the end something like

```
ncols = n_elements(dlat[*,0])
```

```
nrows = n_elements(dlat[0,*])
```

```
nels = n_elements(CS_LATLON)
```

```
for i = 0, ncols-1 do begin
```

```
  x2 = rebin(reform(dlat[i,*],nrows),nrows,nels)
```

```
  x3 = rebin(reform(CS_LATLON,1,nels), nrows,nels)
```

```
  indices = where(abs(x3-x2) LT 1e-4)
```

```
  vals = x2[indices]
```

;- Now you can print these vals or store them to an array or

whatever

endfor

Just repeat for the lons...still has for loops but I'm pretty sure that works. I did a simple test on a 5x5 compared to a 1x25.

If it doesn't....I never did this.

Hope this helps eliminate some loops anyway....and actually is relevant.
