
Subject: Re: IDL is not accurate enough!

Posted by [pgrigis](#) on Mon, 15 Sep 2008 13:40:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

noahh.schwa...@gmail.com wrote:

> On 11 sep, 16:44, pgri...@gmail.com wrote:

>> pgri...@gmail.com wrote:

>>> noahh.schwa...@gmail.com wrote:

>>>> On 28 ao t, 18:42, F LDY Lajos <fo...@rmki.kfki.hu> wrote:

>>>> > On Thu, 28 Aug 2008, noahh.schwa...@gmail.com wrote:

>>>> > > Hi,

>>

>>>> > > I've been having problems with IDL accuracy. I'm trying to perform

>>>> > > calculations using the gamma function. The problem is that it grows

>>>> > > VERY fast! Performing this calculation in double (namely gamma(x)/

>>>> > > gamma(y) with x and y big) yields the result: NaN...

>>>> > > Would it be possible to use a program like 'Mathematica' (or any

>>>> > > other) and to plug it in my ILD program? Some kind of CALL_EXTERNAL

>>>> > > that is to say. If it is possible, how can I do it and what is the

>>>> > > best program to use?

>>

>>>> > > Thanks,

>>>> > > Noah

>>

>>>> > gamma(x)/gamma(y) => exp(lngamma(x)-lngamma(y))

>>

>>>> > regards,

>>>> > lajos

>>

>>>> lngamma works fine for my propose! Would you know if an equivalent

>>>> function exists for the beselk function? Something like lnbeselk?

>>>> beselk(x) for x>709 doesn't seem to work.

>>

>>> Isn't 0 a good enough approximation?

>>

>> If not, $\log(K(x,n)) \sim \ln(\sqrt{\pi/(2*x)}) - x$ for large x

>>

>> Paolo

>>

>>

>>

>>> Paolo

>>

>>>> If not, I guess that I'll have to wait for the DLMs that add arbitrary

>>>> precision floating point...

>>

>>>> cheers,

>>>> Noah

```
>
>
>
> Hi Paolo,
> Your approximation seems to be missing a factor? This is what IDL
> gives me:
>
> IDL> x=705d & n=1.1 & print,alog10(besselk(x,n)), (alog(sqrt(!pi/
> (2*x)))-x)
>      -307.50372    -708.05331
```

I meant the natural log (why should a bessel function care about base 10 anyway?), so use alog instead.

Cheers,
Paolo

```
>
> Cheers,
> Noah
```
