Subject: Re: IDL FOR Loop variable increments Posted by raghuram on Sun, 21 Sep 2008 22:37:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Sep 21, 6:44 am, Bulrush < Wasit. Weat... @gmail.com > wrote:
> On Sep 20, 12:51 am, Raghu < raghuram.narasim...@gmail.com > wrote:
>
>
>> On Sep 19, 12:09 pm, pgri...@gmail.com wrote:
>>> R.G. Stockwell wrote:
>>> "Jean H" <jghas...@DELTHIS.ucalgary.ANDTHIS.ca> wrote in message
>>> news:gauiil$u32$1@news.ucalgary.ca...
>>> > Could you comment on the "risk" of changing the loop counter within the
>>>> > loop?
>>>> my 2 cents.
>>> First, it is in changing the counter of a for loop.
>>> A for loop explicitly outlines what all counter variables will be.
>>>> There are two things:
>>> 1) infinite loop, one could easily change the counter to never
>>> reach the end condition. A (valid) for loop will always reach the end
>>>> condition.
>
>>> 2) more insidious, you could inadvertantly cast the counter to a float from
>>>> an int, and then have one extra (and unintended ) statement executed.
>
>>> This seems not to be possible in IDL, as loop counters, unlike normal
>>> variables, cannot change their type.
>
>>> Ciao.
>>> Paolo
>>> instead of 0,1,2,3,4,5,6 (and not executing i = 7) you could get
>>> 0,1,2,3,4,4.99999999,5.999999,6.99999999, (and effectively executing the
>>>> extra i ~ 7 step).
>>>> Cheers,
>>> bob
>> Hi all,
>> Thanks for your replies. Just as David mentioned in his first
```

- >> response, a while loop worked out much better. Within a single while
 >> loop, i was able to accomplish the task, albeit a bit slowly because
 >> of the non-array operation.
 >
 >> Thanks !- Hide quoted text >
 >> Show quoted text >
 > Why not you do not share your final results with us to close this
 > post.
 > Elkunn
- Hi,

I will. I don't have the code with me this weekend. I'll post it on Monday at work.

Thanks, Raghu