
Subject: Re: IDL FOR Loop variable increments

Posted by [Wasit.Weather](#) on Sun, 21 Sep 2008 13:44:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Sep 20, 12:51 am, Raghu <raghuraam.narasim...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On Sep 19, 12:09 pm, pgri...@gmail.com wrote:

>

>

>

>

>

>> R.G. Stockwell wrote:

>>> "Jean H" <jghas...@DELTHIS.ucalgary.ANDTHIS.ca> wrote in message

>>> news:gauuill\$u32\$1@news.ucalgary.ca...

>>> ...

>>>> Could you comment on the "risk" of changing the loop counter within the
>>>> loop?

>

>>> my 2 cents.

>

>>> First, it is in changing the counter of a for loop.

>>> A for loop explicitly outlines what all counter variables will be.

>

>>> There are two things:

>

>>> 1) infinite loop, one could easily change the counter to never

>>> reach the end condition. A (valid) for loop will always reach the end

>>> condition.

>

>>> 2) more insidious, you could inadvertantly cast the counter to a float from

>>> an int, and then have one extra (and unintended) statement executed.

>

>> This seems not to be possible in IDL, as loop counters, unlike normal
>> variables, cannot change their type.

>

>> Ciao,

>> Paolo

>

>>> instead of 0,1,2,3,4,5,6 (and not executing i = 7) you could get

>>> 0,1,2,3,4,4.999999999,5.99999,6.999999999, (and effectively executing the

>>> extra i ~ 7 step).

>

>>> Cheers,

>>> bob

>

> Hi all,

>

> Thanks for your replies. Just as David mentioned in his first

> response, a while loop worked out much better. Within a single while
> loop, i was able to accomplish the task, albeit a bit slowly because
> of the non-array operation.
>
> Thanks !- Hide quoted text -
>
> - Show quoted text -

Why not you do not share your final results with us to close this
post.
Elkunn
