Subject: Re: MPfit question

Posted by Wox on Thu, 02 Oct 2008 11:39:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 01 Oct 2008 13:16:10 -0400, Craig Markwardt <craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:

> Wox <nomail@hotmail.com> writes:

>

- >> On 30 Sep 2008 11:49:46 -0400, Craig Markwardt
- >> <craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:

>>

- >>> If you look at the code, the value of ALPHA is adjusted so that, at
- >>> the next iteration, a parameter will exactly touch its boundary,
- >>> within a small tolerance. At that point, the parameter will be
- >>> considered fixed, and will no longer enter into the calculation of the
- >>> value of ALPHA. [\*] Thus, the step \*is\* adaptive, it just doesn't
- >>> happen in a single iteration.

>>

- >> I'm sorry, but I don't see how it does this. ALPHA is adjusted and
- >> immediatly used (see below). In the next iteration, the increments are
- >> calculated again by mpfit\_Impar and used again to calculate ALPHA,
- >> whether the param was at the limit in the previous iteration or not.

>

- > That is not correct. Please search for 'zeroing the derivatives of
- > pegged parameters'. Once a parameter is pegged at a boundary in the
- > previous iteration, it no longer contributes to the congugate gradiate
- > solution because its derivatives have been zeroed.

Ah yes, I see now. Thanks for your comments. I must say it's quite an impressive and complicated piece of code. I'm having a hard time understanding the convergence criteria and the calculation of the LM-step. Can you recommend some reference where I can find more details? I sure have some books explaining NLLS-refinement but they don't seem to mention some of the criteria I see in your code. Also the calculation of the LM-step is done differently each time I open a new book:-).