
Subject: Re: MPfit question

Posted by [Wox](#) on Wed, 01 Oct 2008 08:31:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 30 Sep 2008 11:49:46 -0400, Craig Markwardt
<craigmnet@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:

> If you look at the code, the value of ALPHA is adjusted so that, at
> the next iteration, a parameter will exactly touch its boundary,
> within a small tolerance. At that point, the parameter will be
> considered fixed, and will no longer enter into the calculation of the
> value of ALPHA. [*] Thus, the step *is* adaptive, it just doesn't
> happen in a single iteration.

I'm sorry, but I don't see how it does this. ALPHA is adjusted and immediately used (see below). In the next iteration, the increments are calculated again by mpfit_lmpar and used again to calculate ALPHA, whether the param was at the limit in the previous iteration or not.

The thing is, my problem is solved when I adjust the increments themselves and leave ALPHA=1. I was just wondering whether I introduce some errors by doing this.

INNER_LOOP:

; mpfit_lmpar:

; solve A.wa1=B and sqrt(par).D.wa1=0

; where wa1 is the parameter increment

...

; When some param goes outside boundary, make alpha smaller so it touches the boundary:

dwa1 = abs(wa1) GT MACHEP0

whl = where(dwa1 AND qlim AND (x + wa1 LT llim), lct)

if lct GT 0 then \$

alpha = min([alpha, (llim[whl]-x[whl])/wa1[whl]])

whu = where(dwa1 AND qulim AND (x + wa1 GT ulim), uct)

if uct GT 0 then \$

alpha = min([alpha, (ulim[whu]-x[whu])/wa1[whu]])

; Apply increment!

xnew = x + alpha * wa1

; When the step puts us on a boundary, make sure it is exact

...

; Convergence tests + succesfull inner loop test

...

goto INNER_LOOP
