
Subject: Re: Compute area between curves
Posted by [mystea](#) on Tue, 14 Oct 2008 05:35:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi everyone,

I am also working on a topic where I need to numerically calculate an integral of a tabulated function. However, what I need is an indefinite integral, namely, the area under a curve as a function of x-coordinate.

The procedure `int_tabulated` only calculates the definite integral, given tabulated `f` and its x-coordinates `x`. Let's say both `f` and `x` are double array of length `nl`.

I tried the following fix:

```
integral=dblarr(nl)
for i=1, nl-1 do integral[i]=int_tabulated(x[0:i],f[0:i])
```

I thought it will work but not quite! Turns out that in general, the result integral will not be monotone even if `f` are always positive. I believe this has something to do with the algorithm of `int_tabulated`? How can I produce a consistent calculation of the indefinite integral?

Best Regards,
Gene

On Oct 13, 9:42 pm, Craig Markwardt
<craigm...@REMOVEcow.physics.wisc.edu> wrote:
> James Kuyper <jameskuy...@verizon.net> writes:
>> A more general approach would work regardless of the shapes of
>> the two curves. Just connect the two curves to create a single
>> combined curve that starts by listing all the points on one curve in
>> clockwise order, then continues by listing all of the points of the
>> other curve in counter-clockwise order. As a result, the combined
>> curve encloses the area that lies between the two curves. Then use
>> `POLY_AREA` to calculates the area enclosed by the combined curve.
>
> ...
>
> James, I had that thought as well, but I believe `POLY_AREA` will not
> work as expected. When a polygon's edges self-intersect, then the

> polygon is no longer "simple." In that case, the POLY_AREA method
> will compute the *signed* total area. Polygonal segments where the
> path traverses clockwise will contribute in a positive sense, and
> counter-clockwise in the negative sense. The result will not be the
> 'total' area as we commonly expect, but some kind of non-intuitive
> 'net' area.
>
> I still think the original questioner doesn't really know what he
> needs yet.
>
> Craig
>
> --
> -----
> Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: cbmarkwardt+use...@gmail.com
> -----
