Subject: Re: Compute area between curves Posted by jameskuyper on Wed, 15 Oct 2008 12:05:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

James Kuyper wrote:

- > mystea wrote:
- >> On Oct 14, 4:26 am, James Kuyper <jameskuy...@verizon.net> wrote:
- > ...
- >>> Could you give a simple example that demonstrates the problem you've
- >>> seen?

>>

>> I tried "tsum" and suddenly every problem was solved!

>

- > I assume you're referring to
- > <http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/math/tsum.pro>. tsum uses the
- > trapezoidal rule, which is the two-point Newton-Cotes formula;
- > INT_TABULATED uses the five-point formula. The higher-order formula
- > gives you more accurate results, so long as the data is tabulated at
- > sufficiently close intervals so that is it relatively smooth over any 5
- > consecutive data points. The lower order formula gives less accurate
- > results, but is more robust with respect to the errors that can be
- > created when the tabulated function isn't tabulated sufficiently
- > closely. This is the typical trade-off you get when comparing
- > higher-order numerical methods with lower-order ones.

I can be more specific about this. According to http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Newton%E2%80%93Cotes_formulas, the error terms are

trapezoidal (two-point) rule: -(h^3/12) *f2(zeta) Boole's (five-point) rule: -(8*h^7/945)*f6(zeta)

where 'h' is the spacing of the data, f2(zeta) is the second derivative of the function being integrated, evaluated at some unspecified location in the range of integration, and f6(zeta) is the sixth derivative, evaluated at some unspecified and probably different location in the range of integration.

Therefore, if f2_max is the maximum value of the magnitude of the second derivative over the range of integration, and f6_max is the same thing for the sixth derivative, then it is better to use TSUM if

 $h > (315*f2_max/(32*f6_max))^0.25$

if h is less than that limiting value, you'll get better results with INT_TABULATED.