
Subject: Re: error handling

Posted by [bulrushmower](#) on Mon, 10 Nov 2008 15:02:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Nov 10, 7:38 am, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Spon writes:

>> bulrushmo...@gmail.com writes:

>>> How can I set up that when error happens, IDL output the error message

>>> to log file, then ignore that step continue to process next data?.

>

>> Something like this:

>

>> OpenW, lun, 'logfile.out', /GET_LUN

>> FOR j=0,n DO BEGIN

>

>> Catch, theError

>> IF theError NE 0 THEN BEGIN

>> PrintF, lun, 'Problem with processing step ' + StrTrim(j)

>> CONTINUE

>> ENDIF

>

>> DoSomeProcessing, data[j]

>> ENDFOR

>> Catch, /Cancel

>> Free_Lun, lun

>

>> Excuse my ignorance on this, but wouldn't you need to stick your

>> "Catch, /Cancel" INSIDE the for loop?

>> If not, why not?

>

> There is no requirement for when you cancel a CATCH, except
> you should cancel it when you are finished with it. While CATCH
> is in effect, any error is re-routed to the first line after
> the CATCH statement. When cancelled, any error is routed
> to the ON_ERROR condition in effect for that program
> module. In a real code example, rather than cancelling
> the CATCH there, I would probably have had another CATCH
> statement to replace the one I was using for the process
> loop.

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.dfanning.com/>

> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")- Hide quoted text -

>

> - Show quoted text -

The problem is even I use these statements, program still halted when encounter a bad data.

Should I place the Catch, /cancel inside the loop.

Another issue, should I state the Catch, the error right after the beginning the loop, or after the image processing, end of the loop?

thanks
