

---

Subject: Re: Philosophical Question about NAN  
Posted by [R.Bauer](#) on Tue, 18 Nov 2008 00:38:18 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sometimes I wish people would use a defined missing value instead on NaN. NaN is only defined for float and double.  
If a NaN value is in you data everything can become difficult.

```
IDL> a=[!values.f_nan,0,3,5]
IDL> print,max(a)
      NaN
IDL> print,min(a)
      NaN
IDL> if a[0] gt 1 then print, 'yes' else print, 'no'
no
IDL> if a[0] lt 1 then print, 'yes' else print, 'no'
no
IDL> if a[0] eq 1 then print, 'yes' else print, 'no'
no
```

if you have read until here you may wonder about this  
IDL> if !values.f\_nan eq !values.f\_nan then print,'yes' else print, 'no'  
no

Idl says "no"!!

For functions we can easily set a key so that NaN numbers can be handled differently but if the default is to search for NaN a lot of other places needs a lot of changes.

cheers

Reimar

Kenneth P. Bowman schrieb:

```
> In article <MPG.238b3491ef337cc798a534@news.giganews.com>,
> David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> wrote:
>
>> Folks,
>>
>> I've had a couple of run-ins lately with NANs and I wonder
>> why routines like TOTAL and MEAN don't have the NAN keyword
>> set to 1 by default. Why does the user have to set it?
>>
>> I understand the argument that the NAN capability was
>> added as an afterthought (or more likely when someone
>> standardized the NAN bit pattern), and so the functionality
```

>> was added as an optional addition that enhanced the function  
>> rather than changed it. But really...is there a reason  
>> why it is not the default now?  
>>  
>> One could argue, I suppose, that having a program stumble  
>> over a NAN alerts you to its presence in your data. That  
>> is useful, certainly. But, typically, once I add a NAN  
>> keyword to my code, I don't know (nor do I or care) if the  
>> argument has NANs. Is this lazy programming on my part?  
>>  
>> I am just wondering whether not setting the default value  
>> of the NAN keyword to 1 on routines like TOTAL, MEAN,  
>> et. al is the functional equivalent of not setting the  
>> default values of the COLOR and BITS\_PER\_PIXEL keywords  
>> to the PostScript device to something useful by default.  
>> That is, an act of negligence on the part of the  
>> manufacturer.  
>>  
>> What say you?  
>>  
>> Cheers,  
>>  
>> David  
>  
> HI David,  
>  
> I think they chose correctly and erred on the side of safety.  
>  
> If I know there are Nans in my data, I'll take care of it.  
>  
> If there are Nans in the data that I don't expect, I don't want to  
> have to set a keyword somewhere to find that out. That is, I don't  
> want IDL to automatically skip those Nans.  
>  
> OTOH, I still find this to be frustrating and dangerous  
>  
> IDL> PRINT, TOTAL(REPLICATE(!VALUES.F\_NAN, 5), /NAN)  
> 0.00000  
>  
> There are no valid numbers in the input vector, but TOTAL  
> returns a valid FLOAT. This makes the NAN keyword useless  
> in many situations.  
>  
> Ken

---