Subject: Re: Musing on IDL's Future Direction
Posted by Kenneth P. Bowman on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 15:22:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <MPG.2389fae938231b9398a531@news.giganews.com>, David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> wrote:

- > If ITTVIS could just spare one engineer for six
- > months or so to work on a couple of things that
- > were important to research users of IDL it would make
- > a tremendous difference to a lot of people. I've had
- > two e-mails \*this morning\* from people confused about
- > the PostScript device, and it is not an unusual morning.

Because I missed the ITTVIS presentation about future IDL improvements at the recent User Group meeting, I had a look at the online presentation

http://www.ittvis.com/portals/0/pdfs/idl/uc/idl71idlUG.pdf

There are only two things listed that are of interest to me:

- 1. 64-bit version for OS X (already implemented in 7.0.4).
- 2. iTools enhancements with better programmatic control of iTools. This is welcome but not a real high priority for me, as I only use iTools for a few specialized tasks.

Nothing else on the time line is compelling, although I see how some things could be useful for other users.

Apple is devoting an entire major release (upcoming OS X 10.6) to fixing and updating underlying software (making things 64-bit, rewriting for current software standards, improving performance), not adding new features. OS X is pretty good as is, but any efforts to improve software \*quality\*, as opposed to expanding the feature set, are always welcome, IMHO.

Perhaps ITTVIS could spend at least a minor release fixing as many of those under-the-hood things that drive us crazy as they can.

Ken Bowman