Subject: Re: Musing on IDL's Future Direction Posted by pgrigis on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 15:57:09 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

> Folks,

>

- > Jumping through more hoops to create both display and
- > PostScript output this morning got me thinking about
- > our old Top 10 list. I was surprised to see we started
- > that in July 2000. Whoa! It seems like only yesterday.

>

- > There were 159 posts in that thread, and a lot of good
- > ideas. Just browsing through them now, I found, well,
- > one that was implemented. We now have the COMPLEMENT
- > keyword in the Where function. You could count that
- > as progress, I suppose. (There may have been more, but
- > after a couple of pages I was too depressed to read
- > further.)

>

- > I am not unmindful of the fact that IDL is a lot of
- > things to a lot of users, and that one person's
- > nonsense is another person's essential feature, but
- > really...

>

- > If ITTVIS could just spare one engineer for six
- > months or so to work on a couple of things that
- > were important to research users of IDL it would make
- > a tremendous difference to a lot of people. I've had
- > two e-mails *this morning* from people confused about
- > the PostScript device, and it is not an unusual morning.

>

- > There is too much cynicism showing in this newsgroup
- > lately to risk another Top 10 List. (And, given the
- > success of our last campaign, maybe it would be better
- > from a psychological point of view to start a Bottom
- > 10 List. More chance of it getting implemented, probably.
- > But I digress, and in completely the wrong direction!)

>

- > I guess my point is this. There are a lot of people,
- > myself included, who use and are extremely happy with
- > what I have come to think of as IDL's "old" features.
- > Line plots, image display, good ol' direct graphics,
- > sent to a PostScript file for nice output.

I guess that the Department of Homemade Security does not use PostScript because it is not encrypted and therefore unsafe and dangerous!

Ciao, Paolo

- > We could
- > be made happy and a LOT less cynical, I think, if
- > along with the bright new gewgaws someone threw in a
- > lagniappe of a PostScript device that worked the way
- > it was suppose to work in this day and age.

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

- > -
- > David Fanning, Ph.D.
- > Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
- > Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/
- > Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")