
Subject: Re: maximum LUN

Posted by [greg.addr](#) on Mon, 24 Nov 2008 16:00:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

- > What reason could there possibly be for having hundreds
- > of files open simultaneously? The only thing that comes
- > immediately to mind for me is a poor programming concept. :-)

I kind of expected that reply... :) I think I do have a valid application, though. I have an archive of a few thousand satellite images, each of which has 6 bands, stored in separate files. I've made an object which can handle the six bands and return a subset image for a selected region processed as I want it. It also returns the image's coverage of the region, so that I can fill in any gaps with data from other images. This I do by opening further image objects. So far, I can use four of these to make an on-the-fly mosaic before I run out of LUNs - and it works well up to that point. The program allows zooming and panning, so I need repeat access to similar regions of the same files. I think it would be too slow to close and reopen them every time, so the objects hold the files open. The archive is several terabytes, changing, and not in my control - so preprocessing is out. With 128 LUNs instead of 28 I could mosaic about 20 image subsets, which will be enough for the moment. Still, if the number 128 was just an arbitrary choice long ago, I'd like to ask early for a bigger one!

cheers,
Greg
