
Subject: Re: maximum LUN

Posted by [greg.addr](#) on Mon, 24 Nov 2008 18:33:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Have you tried it? I'd be surprised if that's true - but then, I've
> been surprised before. ;^)

I did do it that way at first: I later thought that by keeping the files open, I might benefit from the disk caching when reading from another close or overlapping area. I'm not sure if closing and reopening a file makes any difference to this, but my impression was that it became faster. I'd be surprised if there's not an overhead in reestablishing tens of file links for each drag of the mouse - but it might be smaller than I think. In any case, I still find it preferable in theory to keep the files open - otherwise one could argue that we never need more than one LUN...

For the moment I made a `get_lun` to handle 100 LUNs. If those run out, I'll start experimenting with an opening and closing marathon.

cheers,
Greg
