Subject: Re: Approximate convolution - for loop problem Posted by andrews32940 on Tue, 23 Dec 2008 19:46:11 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

This doesn't get rid of the FOR loop but it should be faster with minimal memory overhead:

```
a = [1.,2.,3.,4.]
 Print, 'Start a = ', a
 na = N Elements(a)
 const1 = 0.5
 coef = Make Array(na, /DOUBLE, VALUE=const1)
 coef[0] = 1.0D; First index is a special case
 scale = Reverse(Product(coef, /CUMULATIVE))
 for ii = na-1L, 0L, -1L DO a[ii] = Total(a[0:ii]*scale[na-1-
ii:na-1])
 Print, 'End a = ', a
Start a =
            1.00000
                        2.00000
                                    3.00000
                                                4.00000
End a =
            1.00000
                        2.50000
                                    4.25000
                                                6.12500
On Dec 21, 3:01 pm, Sam <samuel.le...@gmail.com> wrote:
> Hi David, unfortunately shift() does not do the business for me, as
> these two examples below show. So I'm still a bit stumped here.
>
> ; Array operation I'm trying to execute.
> a=[1.,2.,3.,4.]
> for ii=1,3 do a[ii] += 0.5*a[ii-1]
> print,a
  1.00000
               2.50000
                          4.25000
>
                                      6.12500
> ; Attempt to perform this operation with shift()
> a=[1.,2.,3.,4.]
> a += 0.5*shift(a,-1)
> print,a
  2.00000
               3.50000
                          5.00000
                                       4.50000
>
>
 On Dec 21, 7:03 pm, David Fanning <n...@dfanning.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>> samuel.le...@gmail.com writes:
>>> Hello everyone, I'm trying to execute a 1-d convolution of an array,
>>> signal.
>>> Using an analytic approximation, obtaining the convolved bolometer
>>> signal, bolo signal, at time step ii, is given by the following:
>
```

```
>>> nsamp=n_elements(signal)
>>> const1 = exp(-tsamp/taubolo)
>>> const2 = 1.-const1
>>> bolo_signal = const2*signal
>>> for ii= 1L,nsamp-1L do begin
       bolo_signal[ii] += const1*bolo_signal[ii-1]
>>> endfor
>>> where tsamp and taubolo are scalars. Is there any way to avoid the for
>>> loop in this case? The hope is to speed up the execution.
>> I think this gives you the same results:
>
     bolo_signal += const1 * shift(bolo_signal,-1)
>>
>> Cheers,
>> David
>> David Fanning, Ph.D.
>> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
>> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:http://www.dfanning.com/
>> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")- Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
```