
Subject: Re: How to find second minimum elements in an array in IDL?

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Thu, 15 Jan 2009 22:39:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 15, 10:36 am, cmanc...@gmail.com wrote:

```
> I was curious, so I checked out your routine Mike. It looks good but
> one problem - a for loop! I'm pretty sure you can replace:
>
>   nCandidates = 0L
>   for bin = 0L, nBins - 1L do begin
>     nCandidates += h[bin]
>     if (nCandidates ge n) then break
>   endfor
>
> with:
>
>   max( total( h, /cumulative ) < n, bin )
>
> which should work because max will return the first maximum value. Of
> course, I was too lazy to see if the max(total()) method is actually
> faster (since it involves a couple different computations), but oh
> well, sometimes laziness wins :)
```

It turns out that it probably doesn't matter much.

It's not FOR loops per se that are bad, but the execution of many statements. For perfectly uniformly distributed data, the FOR loop above will only loop once -- more times the less uniformly distributed the data, bounded by the number of bins (i.e. number of data elements / number of elements required).

Averages were computed for 500 runs of finding the smallest k=100 elements of an n=1000000 element dataset.

For uniform data:

```
mg_n_smallest(randomu(seed, n), k)
```

vectorized: 0.035663 seconds

loops: 0.036040 seconds

loops are 1.1% faster

For perverse data:

```
mg_n_smallest([randomu(seed, k - 1), randomu(seed, n - k + 1) + n /
k], 100)
```

vectorized: 0.279783 seconds

loops: 0.281627 seconds
vectorized is 0.7% faster

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com

Tech-X Corporation

Associate Research Scientist
