
Subject: Re: "foreach" loops in IDL

Posted by [Vince Hradil](#) on Fri, 16 Jan 2009 20:43:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 16, 3:34 pm, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

> I was referring to foreach.pro , not to what you posted.

> Sorry for the confusion.

>

> I guess that I have the same loathing for common blocks that

> David has for heap_gc ... I guess anybody is entitled his

> quirks ;-)

>

> Ciao,

> Paolo

>

>

>

> rtk wrote:

>> On Jan 16, 12:37 pm, Paolo <pgri...@gmail.com> wrote:

>>> My opinion is that something like that make the

>>> code more difficult to understand and prevent

>>> utilization in two different programs running at the

>>> same time in the same session because of the

>>> common blocks.

>

>> It is unclear which set of extensions you are referring to, but if you

>> mean the ones I mentioned I encourage you to take a second look at

>> lambda.pro. There will be no problem between programs because of the

>> common block. Also, the extensions are meant mostly for command line

>> use.

>

>> As for being hard to read and understand, that is just a matter of

>> experience and opinion. Functional languages do pretty well with

>> constructs like these and vastly more sophisticated ones.

>

>> Lastly, as always, if you don't like something, don't use it :)

>

>> Ron- Hide quoted text -

>

> - Show quoted text -

I would have to agree with Paolo here. The for-loop syntax is simple and clear. The @do @done really obfuscates the code.
