Subject: Re: How to find second minimum elements in an array in IDL?
Posted by pgrigis on Fri, 16 Jan 2009 15:48:26 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

cmanc...@gmail.com wrote:
> On Jan 15, 5:39 pm, "mgal...@gmail.com" <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On Jan 15, 10:36 am, cmanc...@gmail.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>> I was curious, so I checked out your routine Mike. It looks good but
>>> one problem - a for loop! I'm pretty sure you can replace:
>>
>>> nCandidates = 0L
>>> for bin = 0L, nBins - 1L do begin
>>> nCandidates += h[bin]
>>> if (nCandidates ge n) then break
>>> endfor
>>
>>> with:
>>
>>> max(total(h, /cumulative) < n, bin)
>>
>>> which should work because max will return the first maximum value. Of
>>> course, I was too lazy to see if the max(total()) method is actually
>>> faster (since it involves a couple different compuatations), but oh
>>> well, sometimes laziness wins :)
>>
>> It turns out that it probably doesn't matter much.
>>
>> It's not FOR loops per se that are bad, but the execution of many
>> statements. For perfectly uniformly distributed data, the FOR loop
>> above will only loop once -- more times the less uniformly distributed
>> the data, bounded by the number of bins (i.e. number of data
>> elements / number of elements required).
>>
>> Averages were computed for 500 runs of finding the smallest k=100
>> elements of an n=1000000 element dataset.
>>
>> For uniform data:
>>
>> mg_n_smallest(randomu(seed, n), k)
>>
>> vectorized: 0.035663 seconds
>> loops: 0.036040 seconds
>> loops are 1.1% faster
>>
>> For perverse data:

>>
>>   mg_n_smallest([randomu(seed, k - 1), randomu(seed, n - k + 1) + n /
>> k], 100)
>>
>>   vectorized: 0.279783 seconds
>>   loops: 0.281627 seconds
>>   vectorized is 0.7% faster
>>
>> Mike
>> --www.michaelgalloy.com
>> Tech-X Corporation
>> Associate Research Scientist
>
> I didn't really expect much of a difference. I think this is just a
> personal preference of mine - it looks so much nice when it all fits
> on one line!

But we don't want to encourage people writing
all of their programs in one line, don't we?

Ciao,
Paolo
